

Phenomenology of the simple 3-3-1 model with inert scalars

P. V. Dong*

Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology,

10 Dao Tan, Ba Dinh, Hanoi, Vietnam

N. T. K. Ngan†

Department of Physics, Cantho University,

3/2 Street, Ninh Kieu, Cantho, Vietnam

(Dated: December 31, 2015)

The simple 3-3-1 model that contains the minimal lepton and minimal scalar content is detailedly studied. The impact of the inert scalars, which provides dark matter candidates, on the model is discussed. All the interactions of the model are derived, and the standard model ones are identified. We make a search for the new particles including the inert ones which lead to the observed diphoton excess, rare $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ decay, and B_s - $\bar{B}_s$ mixing as well as constraining the standard model Higgs like particle at the LHC.

PACS numbers: 12.60.-i

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the promising extensions of the standard model is the model based on the $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ (3-3-1) gauge symmetry [1, 2], such that it can explain the neutrino masses [3], dark matter [4, 5], fermion generation number [6], oddly heavy top quark [7], flavor physics [8], electric charge quantization [9], and strong CP conservation [10]. There are numerous 3-3-1 versions proposed so far, but they mainly differ due to the lepton and scalar contents. Given a favor of the version with the minimal lepton and scalar content, the suitable one is the so-called simple 3-3-1 model. This model was firstly introduced in [5] in

*Electronic address: pvdong@iop.vast.ac.vn

†Electronic address: ntngan@ctu.edu.vn

order to resolve the problems associated with the reduced 3-3-1 model [11]. Additionally, the dark matter can be naturally implemented in this new proposal, as also stated in [5].

It was shown in [12] that the considering model encounters a serious discrepancy between the FCNCs and ρ -parameter bounds on the new physics scale, which is experimentally unacceptable. This can be understood as follows: The FCNCs constrain the 3-3-1 breaking scale to be $w > 3.6$ TeV. With this limit, the new physics contribution due to the normal sector of the simple 3-3-1 model to the ρ -parameter is negligible. This implies that the inert scalars are necessarily included as they are, which provides dark matter candidates. The presence of the inert scalars can solve the experimental ρ -parameter, $0.00016 < (\Delta\rho)_{\text{new-physics}} < 0.00064$, since one of the inert doublets contained in the inert multiplet $\phi = \eta', \chi'$ or σ can be used to break the vector part of weak $SU(2)$ and lead to a positive contribution as $(\Delta\rho)_{\text{inert-doublet}} = \frac{G_F}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2}\Delta m^2$, where

$$\Delta m^2 = m_1^2 + m_2^2 - \frac{4m_1^2 m_2^2}{m_1^2 - m_2^2} \ln \frac{m_1}{m_2}, \quad (1)$$

denotes the mass splitting between the inert doublet components [13]. Compared to the bounds, it yields $(22.5 \text{ GeV})^2 < \Delta m^2 < (45 \text{ GeV})^2$, in good agreement with the dark matter constraints where m_1 and m_2 can range from the weak scale to TeV scale [5].

The above observation makes the simple 3-3-1 model realistic (i.e., alive) as well as showing the importance of the inert scalars, besides the other strong connections between the two sectors as presented in [5]. Motivated by this fact, in the current work, the normal sector of the simple 3-3-1 model is in detail investigated, taking into account the effect of the inert sector too. It is noted that the inert sector was explicitly obtained in [5], and in this work, it would be appropriately used whenever it contributes to the normal sector. First of all, we give a brief review of the model. All the interactions in the normal sector will be calculated. The interactions between the normal and inert sectors were available, which could be found in [5]. Next, we consider the standard model Higgs like particle signature at the LHC when additionally including the effects of the new particles. We also examine the decay anomaly $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ and B_s - $\bar{B}_s$ mixing, which constrain Z' boson. The searches for the new scalars $H^0, H^\pm, \phi$ and new gauge bosons $Z', X^\pm, Y^{\pm\pm}$ are lastly discussed.

The rest of this work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the necessary features of the model are given. In Sec. III, we calculate relevant interactions. Section IV is devoted to constraining the standard model Higgs like particle as well as the searches for the new

physics. Finally, we summarize our results and make conclusions in Sec. V.

II. THE MODEL

The gauge symmetry of the model is given by $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$, where the first factor is the ordinary QCD group, while the last two are a nontrivial extension of the electroweak groups. The standard model fermion doublets will be enlarged to $SU(3)_L$ triplets/antitriplets, whereas the standard model fermion singlets, possibly including right-handed neutrinos, are retained as corresponding $SU(3)_L$ singlets or included to complete the fermion triplets/antitriplets. The latter case may be valid for leptons, but it does not apply for quarks because of the commutation among $SU(3)_C$, $SU(3)_L$, and the spacetime symmetry; therefore, the introduction of exotic quarks for the quark triplets/antitriplets is necessary. Such arrangement hints the fermion generation number equals the fundamental color number (i.e., 3), when the anomaly cancelation and QCD asymptotic freedom are imposed. The latter case implies the quantization of electric charge, when the anomaly cancelation and mass generation are applied. In the following, we are interested in the model that its lepton sector uses only those of the standard model, no new lepton is required, and of course the electric charge is embedded in the gauge symmetry as $Q = T_3 - \sqrt{3}T_8 + X$, where T_i ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$) and X are the generators of $SU(3)_L$ and $U(1)_X$, respectively. Furthermore, the $SU(3)_C$ generators will be denoted as t_i .

The fermion content, which is anomaly free, is given by

$$\psi_{aL} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{aL} \\ e_{aL} \\ (e_{aR})^c \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, 0), \quad (2)$$

$$Q_{\alpha L} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} d_{\alpha L} \\ -u_{\alpha L} \\ J_{\alpha L} \end{pmatrix} \sim (3, 3^*, -1/3), \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{3L} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} u_{3L} \\ d_{3L} \\ J_{3L} \end{pmatrix} \sim (3, 3, 2/3), \quad (4)$$

$$u_{aR} \sim (3, 1, 2/3), \quad d_{aR} \sim (3, 1, -1/3), \quad (5)$$

$$J_{\alpha R} \sim (3, 1, -4/3), \quad J_{3R} \sim (3, 1, 5/3), \quad (6)$$

where $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $\alpha = 1, 2$ are generation indices. The quantum numbers in the parentheses (N_C, N_L, X) define corresponding representations under the $(SU(3)_C, SU(3)_L, U(1)_X)$ groups, respectively. The superscript c indicates the charge conjugation, $(e_R)^c \equiv C\bar{e}_R^T = (e^c)_L$, as usual. The new quarks J_a have exotic electric charges such as $Q(J_\alpha) = -4/3$ and $Q(J_3) = 5/3$. The third generation of quarks has been arranged differently from the first two, in order to have a well-defined new physics scale below the Landau pole of around 5 TeV, due to the FCNCs constraints [5].

To break the gauge symmetry and generate the masses for particles in a correct way, the scalar content can minimally be introduced as

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1^0 \\ \eta_2^- \\ \eta_3^+ \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, 0), \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^- \\ \chi_2^{--} \\ \chi_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \sim (1, 3, -1), \quad (7)$$

with corresponding VEVs,

$$\langle \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \chi \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ w \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

This scalar sector is unique, given that it includes only two scalar triplets, the top quark gets a tree-level mass, and the ρ -parameter coincides with the global fit, aforementioned.

Let us stress that another choice of two scalar triplets such as ρ and χ as in [11] would lead to an unacceptably large contribution for the ρ -parameter [12]; additionally, this yields a vanishing tree-level mass for the top quark, which is unnaturally induced by the radiative corrections or effective interactions [5]. The VEV w breaks the 3-3-1 symmetry down to the standard model symmetry and gives the masses for the new particles, while u breaks the standard model symmetry down to $SU(3)_C \otimes U(1)_Q$ and provides the masses for the ordinary particles. To keep consistency with the standard model, we impose $u \ll w$.

The dark sector is denoted by ϕ that is an inert scalar multiplet, $\phi = \eta', \chi',$ or σ , ensured by an extra Z_2 symmetry $\phi \rightarrow -\phi$, which provides dark matter candidates [5]. Interestingly enough, the presence of ϕ is crucial to have a realistic simple 3-3-1 model not only because of the dark matter solution. It provides $B - L$ violating interactions, besides the following similar one responsible for the neutrino masses, realizing the fact that the $B - L$ symmetry in this kind of the models is approximate (otherwise, the model is not self-consistent since the $B - L$ and 3-3-1 symmetries are algebraically non-closed). Those interactions also separate the inert field masses and make the dark matter candidates viable. Lastly, ϕ governs the ρ -parameter by which it is comparably with the global fit, as mentioned. In other words, the ρ -parameter can contain information on the dark sector.

The total Lagrangian, up to the gauge fixing and ghost terms, is obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & \sum_F \bar{F} i \gamma^\mu D_\mu F + \sum_S (D^\mu S)^\dagger (D_\mu S) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} G_{i\mu\nu} G_i^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} A_{i\mu\nu} A_i^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \\ & + \mathcal{L}_Y - V, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where F and S run over all the fermion multiplets and scalar multiplets, respectively. The covariant derivative is $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig_s t_i G_{i\mu} + ig T_i A_{i\mu} + ig_X X B_\mu$, where (g_s, g, g_X) and $(G_{i\mu}, A_{i\mu}, B_\mu)$ are the gauge couplings and gauge bosons of the 3-3-1 groups, respectively. The field strength tensors $G_{i\mu\nu}$, $A_{i\mu\nu}$, and $B_{\mu\nu}$ are correspondingly followed. The scalar potential is given by $V = V_{\text{simple}} + V_{\text{inert}}$, where the first term is

$$V_{\text{simple}} = \mu_1^2 \eta^\dagger \eta + \mu_2^2 \chi^\dagger \chi + \lambda_1 (\eta^\dagger \eta)^2 + \lambda_2 (\chi^\dagger \chi)^2 + \lambda_3 (\eta^\dagger \eta) (\chi^\dagger \chi) + \lambda_4 (\eta^\dagger \chi) (\chi^\dagger \eta), \quad (10)$$

which is the potential of the normal scalar sector, while the second term that includes the potential of the inert scalar sector as well as the interactions between the two sectors is

like those supplied in [5] for $\phi = \eta', \chi',$ or σ , which should be kept for brevity. Lastly, the Yukawa Lagrangian takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_Y = & h_{33}^J \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi J_{3R} + h_{\alpha\beta}^J \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi^* J_{\beta R} + h_{3a}^u \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta u_{aR} + \frac{h_{\alpha a}^u}{\Lambda} \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta \chi u_{aR} \\ & + h_{\alpha a}^d \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \eta^* d_{aR} + \frac{h_{3a}^d}{\Lambda} \bar{Q}_{3L} \eta^* \chi^* d_{aR} + h_{ab}^e \bar{\psi}_{aL}^c \psi_{bL} \eta + \frac{h_{ab}^{'e}}{\Lambda^2} (\bar{\psi}_{aL}^c \eta \chi) (\psi_{bL} \chi^*) \\ & + \frac{s_{ab}^\nu}{\Lambda} (\bar{\psi}_{aL}^c \eta^*) (\psi_{bL} \eta^*) + H.c., \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where Λ is a new scale that defines the effective interactions, needed to generate appropriate masses for all the fermions [5]. All the h 's couplings conserve $B - L$, except for the s^ν coupling that violates this charge by two units. This reflects the fact $B - L$ as an approximate symmetry, and the corresponding neutrino masses derived are reasonably small [5].

Because of the Z_2 symmetry, the VEV of ϕ vanishes, $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$. The gauge bosons gain masses from the VEVs of η and χ , given by the Lagrangian $\sum_{S=\eta,\chi} (D^\mu \langle S \rangle)^\dagger (D_\mu \langle S \rangle)$. The gluons G_i have zero mass, as usual. The physical charged gauge bosons with corresponding masses are obtained by

$$W^\pm = \frac{A_1 \mp i A_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_W^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} u^2, \quad (12)$$

$$X^\mp = \frac{A_4 \mp i A_5}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_X^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} (w^2 + u^2), \quad (13)$$

$$Y^{\mp\mp} = \frac{A_6 \mp i A_7}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad m_Y^2 = \frac{g^2}{4} w^2. \quad (14)$$

The W boson is identical to that of the standard model, which yields $u \simeq 246$ GeV. X and Y are new charged gauge bosons which have large masses in the w scale, due to $w \gg u$. The neutral gauge bosons with corresponding masses are achieved as [5]

$$A = s_W A_3 + c_W \left(-\sqrt{3} t_W A_8 + \sqrt{1 - 3t_W^2} B \right), \quad m_A = 0, \quad (15)$$

$$Z = c_W A_3 - s_W \left(-\sqrt{3} t_W A_8 + \sqrt{1 - 3t_W^2} B \right), \quad m_Z^2 = \frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} u^2, \quad (16)$$

$$Z' = \sqrt{1 - 3t_W^2} A_8 + \sqrt{3} t_W B, \quad m_{Z'}^2 = \frac{g^2 [(1 - 4s_W^2)^2 u^2 + 4c_W^4 w^2]}{12c_W^2 (1 - 4s_W^2)}, \quad (17)$$

where $s_W = e/g = t/\sqrt{1 + 4t^2}$, with $t = g_X/g$, is the sine of the Weinberg angle [14]. Note that the photon field A is massless and decoupled, i.e. a physical particle, whereas Z and Z' slightly mix via a mass term, given by $m_{ZZ'}^2 = \frac{g^2 \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2}}{4\sqrt{3}c_W^2} u^2 \simeq 0.16 m_Z^2 \ll m_{Z'}^2$, with the aid of $s_W^2 \simeq 0.231$. The mixing angle of Z - Z' is defined by $t_{2\varphi} = 2m_{ZZ'}^2/(m_{Z'}^2 - m_Z^2) \simeq$

$1.4 \times 10^{-4} \times \left(\frac{3.6 \text{ TeV}}{w}\right)^2$. The Z - Z' mixing term leads to the shifts in Z , Z' masses, determined by $\Delta m_Z^2/m_Z^2 \simeq -1.14 \times 10^{-5} \times \left(\frac{3.6 \text{ TeV}}{w}\right)^2$ and $\Delta m_{Z'}^2/m_{Z'}^2 \simeq 5.1 \times 10^{-9} \times \left(\frac{3.6 \text{ TeV}}{w}\right)^4$, and the deviation of the ρ parameter is $(\Delta\rho)_{\text{mixing}} \simeq -\Delta m_{Z'}^2/m_{Z'}^2$. All such mixing effects are infinitesimal, which can be neglected, due to $w > 3.6 \text{ TeV}$ from the FCNCs bound [5]. The Z boson is a physical particle, identical to that of the standard model, while Z' is a new neutral gauge boson with a large mass in the w scale. The experimental ρ parameter can be explained by the loop effect of the inert scalar ϕ , as shown in the introduction. Let us remind the reader that the loop effect of X , Y gauge bosons is negligible too [12].

Because of the Z_2 symmetry, the normal scalars do not mix with the inert scalars. Also, the physical eigenstates and masses of the normal scalars are given from V_{simple} which are distinct from the inert sector (see also Dong *et al.* in [4]). Let us expand

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{S_1+iA_1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \eta_2^- \\ \eta_3^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{w}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^- \\ \chi_2^{--} \\ \frac{S_3+iA_3}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

which yields the physical Higgs particles with corresponding masses,

$$\begin{aligned} h &\equiv c_\xi S_1 - s_\xi S_3, \quad m_h^2 = \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 w^2 - \sqrt{(\lambda_1 u^2 - \lambda_2 w^2)^2 + \lambda_3^2 u^2 w^2} \simeq \frac{4\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \lambda_3^2}{2\lambda_2} u^2, \\ H &\equiv s_\xi S_1 + c_\xi S_3, \quad m_H^2 = \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 w^2 + \sqrt{(\lambda_1 u^2 - \lambda_2 w^2)^2 + \lambda_3^2 u^2 w^2} \simeq 2\lambda_2 w^2, \\ H^\pm &\equiv c_\theta \eta_3^\pm + s_\theta \chi_1^\pm, \quad m_{H^\pm}^2 = \frac{\lambda_4}{2} (u^2 + w^2), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where ξ is the mixing angle of S_1 - S_3 , while θ is that of χ_1 - η_3 , obtained as $t_\theta = u/w$, $t_{2\xi} = \lambda_3 u w / (\lambda_2 w^2 - \lambda_1 u^2) \simeq (\lambda_3 u) / (\lambda_2 w)$. The fields A_1 , A_3 are massless Goldstone bosons eaten by Z , Z' , respectively, $G_Z \equiv A_1$, $G_{Z'} \equiv A_3$. The fields $\chi_2^{\pm\pm}$, $\eta_2^\pm$ are massless Goldstone bosons eaten by $Y^{\pm\pm}$, $W^\pm$, respectively, $G_Y^{\pm\pm} \equiv \chi_2^{\pm\pm}$, $G_W^\pm \equiv \eta_2^\pm$. The field that is orthogonal to $H^\pm$, $G_X^\pm = c_\theta \chi_1^\pm - s_\theta \eta_3^\pm$, is massless Goldstone boson of $X^\pm$. In summary, we have four massive Higgs bosons (h , H , $H^\pm$), in which h is the standard model Higgs like particle with a light mass in the u scale, while the others are new Higgs bosons with large masses in the w scale. There are eight Goldstone bosons (G_Z , $G_{Z'}$, $G_W^\pm$, $G_Y^{\pm\pm}$, and $G_X^\pm$) as eaten by the corresponding eight massive gauge bosons. At the effective limit, $u \ll w$, it follows

$$\eta \simeq \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u+h+iG_Z}{\sqrt{2}} \\ G_W^- \\ H^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi \simeq \begin{pmatrix} G_X^- \\ G_Y^{--} \\ \frac{w+H+iG_{Z'}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Finally, let us remind the reader that the physical eigenstates and masses of the inert scalars are derived from V_{inert} when η and χ develop the VEVs. All these were given in [5]. The conditions on the scalar potential parameters so that the potential is bounded from below, the VEVs u, w are definitely nonzero, the physical scalar masses are positive, the Z_2 symmetry is unbroken by the vacuum (i.e. $\langle \phi \rangle = 0$), were also achieved therein.

III. INTERACTION

A. Interactions of fermions with gauge bosons

The concerning interactions arise from $\sum_F \bar{F} i \gamma^\mu D_\mu F$, where we separate $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig_s t_i G_{i\mu} + ig P_\mu^{\text{CC}} + ig P_\mu^{\text{NC}}$, with $P_\mu^{\text{CC}} = \sum_{i \neq 3,8} T_i A_{i\mu}$ and $P_\mu^{\text{NC}} = T_3 A_{3\mu} + T_8 A_{8\mu} + t X B_\mu$. The last two terms in D_μ will produce the charged and neutral currents, respectively, discussed in this section. Note that since $T_i(F_R) = 0$, the charged current includes only the left-handed fermions, while the neutral current contains both the left- and right-handed fermions.

1. Charged current

Let us work in the weak basis consisting of the weight-raising and weight-lowering operators, defined by

$$T_\pm = \frac{T_1 \pm iT_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad U_\pm = \frac{T_4 \pm iT_5}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad V_\pm = \frac{T_6 \pm iT_7}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (21)$$

The corresponding gauge bosons are

$$W^\pm = \frac{A_1 \mp iA_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad X^\mp = \frac{A_4 \mp iA_5}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad Y^{\mp\mp} = \frac{A_6 \mp iA_7}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (22)$$

such that

$$P_\mu^{\text{CC}} = T_+ W_\mu^+ + U_+ X_\mu^- + V_+ Y_\mu^{--} + H.c., \quad (23)$$

where the superscripts on the fields indicate the electric charges, while the subscripts on the operators are simply marks, and we have $T_- = (T_+)^\dagger$ and so forth for U, V .

The charged current takes the form,

$$-g \sum_F \bar{F} \gamma^\mu P_\mu^{\text{CC}} F = -g J_W^\mu W_\mu^+ - g J_X^\mu X_\mu^- - g J_Y^\mu Y_\mu^{--} + H.c., \quad (24)$$

where

$$J_W^\mu = \sum_F \bar{F} \gamma^\mu T_+ F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \gamma^\mu e_{aL} + \bar{u}_{aL} \gamma^\mu d_{aL}), \quad (25)$$

$$J_X^\mu = \sum_F \bar{F} \gamma^\mu U_+ F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\nu}_{aL} \gamma^\mu e_{aR}^c - \bar{J}_{\alpha L} \gamma^\mu d_{\alpha L} + \bar{u}_{3L} \gamma^\mu J_{3L}), \quad (26)$$

$$J_Y^\mu = \sum_F \bar{F} \gamma^\mu V_+ F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{e}_{aL} \gamma^\mu e_{aR}^c + \bar{J}_{\alpha L} \gamma^\mu u_{\alpha L} + \bar{d}_{3L} \gamma^\mu J_{3L}). \quad (27)$$

2. Neutral current

Substituting $A_{3\mu}$, $A_{8\mu}$, B_μ in terms of A_μ , Z_μ , Z'_μ into P_μ^{NC} , we obtain

$$P_\mu^{\text{NC}} = s_W Q A_\mu + \frac{1}{c_W} (T_3 - s_W^2 Q) Z_\mu + \frac{1}{c_W} \left(\sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} T_8 + \frac{\sqrt{3}s_W^2}{\sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2}} X \right) Z'_\mu. \quad (28)$$

The neutral current takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} -g \sum_F \bar{F} \gamma^\mu P_\mu^{\text{NC}} F &= -e Q(f) \bar{f} \gamma^\mu f A_\mu - \frac{g}{2c_W} \bar{f} \gamma^\mu [g_V^Z(f) - g_A^Z(f) \gamma_5] f Z_\mu \\ &\quad - \frac{g}{2c_W} \bar{f} \gamma^\mu [g_V^{Z'}(f) - g_A^{Z'}(f) \gamma_5] f Z'_\mu, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where f indicates all the fermions of the model, and

$$g_V^Z(f) = T_3(f_L) - 2s_W^2 Q(f), \quad g_A^Z(f) = T_3(f_L), \quad (30)$$

$$g_V^{Z'}(f) = \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} T_8(f_L) + \frac{\sqrt{3}s_W^2}{\sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2}} (X + Q)(f_L), \quad (31)$$

$$g_A^{Z'}(f) = \frac{c_W^2}{\sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2}} T_8(f_L) - \frac{\sqrt{3}s_W^2}{\sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2}} T_3(f_L). \quad (32)$$

The values of $g_V(f)$ and $g_A(f)$ corresponding to Z and Z' are listed in Tables I and II.

B. Interactions of scalars with gauge bosons

Note that the interactions of the inert scalars with gauge bosons were given in [5]. Therefore, in this work we only need to calculate the remaining interactions of the normal scalars with gauge bosons, which are given from $\sum_S (D^\mu S)^\dagger (D_\mu S)$, with $S = \eta, \chi$. Expanding the scalar multiplets in terms of the VEVs and physical scalar fields, $S = \langle S \rangle + S'$, respectively,

f	g_V^Z	g_A^Z
ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$
e, μ, τ	$\frac{1}{2} (4s_W^2 - 1)$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
u, c, t	$\frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{8}{3}s_W^2)$	$\frac{1}{2}$
d, s, b	$\frac{1}{2} (\frac{4}{3}s_W^2 - 1)$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
J_1, J_2	$\frac{8}{3}s_W^2$	0
J_3	$-\frac{10}{3}s_W^2$	0

TABLE I: The couplings of Z with fermions.

f	$g_V^{Z'}$	$g_A^{Z'}$
ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ	$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-4s_W^2}{3}}$	$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-4s_W^2}{3}}$
e, μ, τ	$\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \sqrt{1-4s_W^2}$	$-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-4s_W^2}{3}}$
u, c	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1-6s_W^2}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+2s_W^2}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$
t	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+4s_W^2}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$	$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-4s_W^2}{3}}$
d, s	$-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$	$-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1-4s_W^2}{3}}$
b	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{c_{2W}}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1+2s_W^2}{\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$
J_1, J_2	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1-9s_W^2}{\sqrt{1-4s_W^2}}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{c_W^2}{\sqrt{1-4s_W^2}}$
J_3	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{(1-11s_W^2)}{\sqrt{1-4s_W^2}}$	$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{c_W^2}{\sqrt{1-4s_W^2}}$

TABLE II: The couplings of Z' with fermions.

and that they are colorless, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + igP_\mu$ ($P_\mu \equiv P_\mu^{\text{CC}} + P_\mu^{\text{NC}}$), the Lagrangian becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_S (D^\mu S)^\dagger (D_\mu S) \supset & \sum_S \{ [ig(\partial^\mu S')^\dagger P_\mu S' + H.c.] \\
& + [g^2 \langle S \rangle^\dagger P^\mu P_\mu S' + H.c.] + g^2 S'^\dagger P^\mu P_\mu S' \}, \quad (33)
\end{aligned}$$

where the first, second, and last terms provide the couplings of two scalars with a gauge boson, two gauge bosons with a scalar, and two gauge bosons with two scalars, respectively. We shall work in a basis where all the Goldstone bosons are gauged away. In this unitary

gauge, the scalar multiplets simply take the form,

$$\eta = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{u}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{c_\xi h + s_\xi H}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 \\ c_\theta H^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad \chi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{w}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} s_\theta H^- \\ 0 \\ \frac{-s_\xi h + c_\xi H}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (34)$$

where in each expansion, the first and second terms correspond to $\langle S \rangle$ and S' , respectively. The notations for the scalar multiplets, including the following gauge bosons, in this gauge have conveniently kept unchanged, which should not be confused.

Considering the first term in the above Lagrangian,

$$\sum_S [ig(\partial^\mu S')^\dagger P_\mu S' + H.c.] = \sum_S [ig(\partial^\mu S')^\dagger P_\mu^{\text{CC}} S' + ig(\partial^\mu S')^\dagger P_\mu^{\text{NC}} S' + H.c.], \quad (35)$$

and substituting S' , P_μ^{CC} , P_μ^{NC} as defined above, we get the interactions of a charged or a neutral gauge boson with two normal scalars, as supplied in Table III, where and throughout the interactions are understood as vertex times coupling.

Continuously, we expand the second term,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_S [g^2 \langle S \rangle^\dagger P^\mu P_\mu S' + H.c.] &= g^2 \sum_S [\langle S \rangle P^{\text{CC}\mu} P_\mu^{\text{CC}} S' + \langle S \rangle \{P^{\text{CC}\mu}, P_\mu^{\text{NC}}\} S' \\ &\quad + \langle S \rangle P^{\text{NC}\mu} P_\mu^{\text{NC}} S' + H.c.]. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Substituting the physical fields, the interactions of a scalar with two gauge bosons corresponding to the three terms above are resulted as listed in Tables IV, V, and VI, respectively.

For the third term, we have

$$\sum_S g^2 S'^\dagger P^\mu P_\mu S' = g^2 \sum_S [S'^\dagger P^{\text{CC}\mu} P_\mu^{\text{CC}} S' + S'^\dagger \{P^{\text{CC}\mu}, P_\mu^{\text{NC}}\} S' + S'^\dagger P^{\text{NC}\mu} P_\mu^{\text{NC}} S'], \quad (37)$$

These three terms yield the interactions of two scalars with two gauge bosons, as given in Tables VII, VIII, and IX, respectively.

C. Scalar self-interactions and Yukawa Interactions

Since we work in the unitary gauge, the scalar self-interactions include only those with the physical scalar particles. Note that the interactions between the normal scalars and inert scalars were given in [5]. Therefore, we necessarily calculate only the self-interactions of the

Vertex	Coupling
$A_\mu H^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H^-$	igs_W
$Z_\mu H^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H^+$	$\frac{ig}{2c_W}(s_\theta^2 + 2s_W^2)$
$Z'_\mu H^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H^+$	$\frac{ig[2(1-4s_W^2)-s_\theta^2(1+2s_W^2)]}{2c_W\sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}}$
$X_\mu^+ h \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H^-$	$\frac{ig}{2}(c_\theta c_\xi + s_\xi s_\theta)$
$X_\mu^- H^+ \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu h$	$\frac{ig}{2}(c_\theta c_\xi + s_\xi s_\theta)$
$X_\mu^+ H^- \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H$	$\frac{ig}{2}(s_\theta c_\xi - s_\xi c_\theta)$
$X_\mu^- H \overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\mu H^+$	$\frac{ig}{2}(s_\theta c_\xi - s_\xi c_\theta)$

TABLE III: The interaction of a gauge boson with two normal scalars.

Vertex	Coupling
$hW_\mu^+ W^{\mu-}$	$\frac{g^2}{2}uc_\xi$
$hX_\mu^+ X^{\mu-}$	$\frac{g^2}{2}(uc_\xi - ws_\xi)$
$hY_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--}$	$\frac{-g^2}{2}ws_\xi$
$HW_\mu^+ W^{\mu-}$	$\frac{g^2}{2}us_\xi$
$HX_\mu^- X^{\mu+}$	$\frac{g^2}{2}(us_\xi + wc_\xi)$
$HY_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--}$	$\frac{g^2}{2}wc_\xi$
$H^+ W_\mu^+ Y^{\mu--}$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{2}}(uc_\theta + ws_\theta)$
$H^- W_\mu^- Y^{\mu++}$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{2}}(uc_\theta + ws_\theta)$

TABLE IV: The interaction of two charged gauge bosons with a normal scalar.

normal scalars. That being said, substituting η and χ from (34) into V_{simple} , we obtain the relevant interactions as given in Tables X and XI.

The inert scalars do not have Yukawa interaction with fermions due to Z_2 symmetry. Therefore, we turn to investigate the Yukawa interactions of the normal scalars. For this

Vertex	Coupling
$A_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_W (uc_\theta - ws_\theta)$
$A_\mu X^{\mu+} H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_W (uc_\theta - ws_\theta)$
$Z_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W} [(1 - 2s_W^2)uc_\theta + ws_\theta(1 + 2s_W^2)]$
$Z_\mu X^{\mu+} H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W} [(1 - 2s_W^2)uc_\theta + ws_\theta(1 + 2s_W^2)]$
$Z'_\mu X^{\mu+} H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W \sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}} [(-1 + 4s_W^2)uc_\theta - ws_\theta(1 + 8s_W^2)]$
$Z'_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W \sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}} [(-1 + 4s_W^2)uc_\theta - ws_\theta(1 + 8s_W^2)]$

TABLE V: The interaction of a charged and a neutral gauge boson with a normal scalar.

Vertex	Coupling
$hZ_\mu Z^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} uc_\xi$
$hZ_\mu Z'^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{3}c_W^2} \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} uc_\xi$
$hZ'_\mu Z'^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{12c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} [(1 - 4s_W^2)^2 uc_\xi - 4ws_\xi c_W^4]$
$HZ_\mu Z^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} us_\xi$
$HZ_\mu Z'^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{3}c_W^2} \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} us_\xi$
$HZ'_\mu Z'^\mu$	$\frac{g^2}{12c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} [u(1 - 4s_W^2)^2 s_\xi + 4wc_W^4 c_\xi]$

TABLE VI: The interaction of two neutral gauge bosons with a normal scalar.

aim, we first identify the mass matrices for fermions:

$$m_{3b}^u = -\frac{h_{3b}^u}{\sqrt{2}}u, \quad m_{ab}^u = -\frac{h_{ab}^u}{2\Lambda}uw, \quad (38)$$

$$m_{3b}^d = \frac{h_{3b}^d}{2\Lambda}uw, \quad m_{ab}^d = -\frac{h_{ab}^d}{\sqrt{2}}u, \quad (39)$$

$$m_{33}^J = -\frac{h_{33}^J}{\sqrt{2}}w, \quad m_{\alpha\beta}^J = -\frac{h_{\alpha\beta}^J}{\sqrt{2}}w, \quad (40)$$

$$m_{ab}^e = \sqrt{2}u \left(h_{ab}^e + \frac{h_{ba}^e w^2}{4\Lambda^2} \right), \quad (41)$$

where the irrelevant neutrino masses, which have not been listed, can be founded in [5]. Hence, the relevant interactions and couplings are resulted as in Tables XII, XIII, and XIV. When the above mass matrices are diagonalized, we have such similar interactions for the physical fields, where the Yukawa couplings depend only on the mass eigenvalues.

Vertex	Coupling	Vertex	Coupling
$W_\mu^+ W^{\mu-} hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4} c_\xi^2$	$X_\mu^+ X^{\mu-} hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4}$
$W_\mu^+ W^{\mu-} Hh$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_\xi c_\xi$	$X_\mu^+ X^{\mu-} HH$	$\frac{g^2}{4}$
$W_\mu^+ W^{\mu-} HH$	$\frac{g^2}{4} s_\xi^2$	$X_\mu^+ X^{\mu-} H^+ H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{2}$
$W_\mu^+ W^{\mu-} H^+ H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_\theta^2$	$Y_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--} hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4} s_\xi^2$
$Y_\mu^{++} W^{\mu-} H^- H$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{2}} s_{\theta+\xi}$	$Y_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--} Hh$	$-\frac{g^2}{2} c_\xi s_\xi$
$Y_\mu^{++} W^{\mu-} H^- h$	$\frac{g^2}{2\sqrt{2}} c_{\theta+\xi}$	$Y_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--} HH$	$\frac{g^2}{4} c_\xi^2$
$XXHh$	0	$Y_\mu^{++} Y^{\mu--} H^+ H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{2} c_\theta^2$

TABLE VII: The interaction of two charged gauge bosons with two scalars.

Vertex	Coupling
$A_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ h$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_W c_{\xi-\theta}$
$A_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ H$	$\frac{g^2}{2} s_W s_{\xi-\theta}$
$Z_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ h$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W} [c_\theta c_\xi (1 - 2s_W^2) - s_\theta s_\xi (1 + 2s_W^2)]$
$Z_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ H$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W} [(1 + 2s_W^2) c_\xi s_\theta + (1 - 2s_W^2) s_\xi c_\theta]$
$Z'_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ h$	$-\frac{g^2}{4c_W \sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}} [(1 - 4s_W^2) c_\theta c_\xi - s_\theta s_\xi (1 + 8s_W^2)]$
$Z'_\mu X^{\mu-} H^+ H$	$-\frac{g^2}{4c_W \sqrt{3(1-4s_W^2)}} [(1 + 8s_W^2) c_\xi s_\theta + c_\theta s_\xi (1 - 4s_W^2)]$

TABLE VIII: The interactions of two gauge bosons with two scalars.

IV. PHENOMENOLOGY

A. The standard model Higgs like particle

The discovery of the Higgs particle marks the success of the LHC run I [15], and its couplings can be summarized via the combined best-fit signal strength, $\mu_h = 1.1 \pm 0.1$, which deviates 10% from the standard model value 1 [16] (see also [17] for an intriguing discussion). Let us particularly investigate the Higgs coupling to two photons that substitutes in

$$\mu_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h) Br(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)_{\text{SM}} Br(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)_{\text{SM}}}, \quad (42)$$

where the numerator is given by the considering model once measured by the experiments, while the denominator is the standard model prediction.

Vertex	Coupling
$Z_\mu Z^\mu hh$	$\frac{g^2}{8c_W^2} c_\xi^2$
$Z_\mu Z^\mu Hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} c_\xi s_\xi$
$Z_\mu Z^\mu HH$	$\frac{g^2}{8c_W^2} s_\xi^2$
$Z_\mu Z'^\mu hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4\sqrt{3}c_W^2} \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} c_\xi^2$
$Z_\mu Z'^\mu HH$	$\frac{g^2}{4\sqrt{3}c_W^2} \sqrt{1 - 4s_W^2} s_\xi^2$
$Z'_\mu Z'^\mu hh$	$\frac{g^2}{24c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} \left[(1 - 4s_W^2)^2 c_\xi^2 + 4s_\xi^2 c_W^4 \right]$
$Z'_\mu Z'^\mu HH$	$\frac{g^2}{24c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} \left[(9 - 24c_W^2 + 16c_W^4) s_\xi^2 + 4c_\xi^2 c_W^4 \right]$
$Z'_\mu Z'^\mu Hh$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} (3 - 8c_W^2 + 4c_W^4) s_\xi c_\xi$
$A_\mu A^\mu H^+ H^-$	$g^2 s_W^2$
$A_\mu Z^\mu H^+ H^-$	$-\frac{g^2 s_W}{c_W} [2s_W^2 c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 (1 + 2s_\theta^2)]$
$Z_\mu Z^\mu H^+ H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{4c_W^2} [4s_W^4 c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 (1 + 2s_W^2)^2]$
$A_\mu Z'^\mu H^+ H^-$	$-\frac{g^2 s_W}{c_W \sqrt{3-12s_W^2}} [(1 - 10s_W^2) s_\theta^2 + 2c_\theta^2 (1 - 4s_W^2)]$
$Z_\mu Z'^\mu H^+ H^-$	$-\frac{g^2}{2c_W^2 \sqrt{12c_W^2 - 9}} [4(3 - 7c_W^2 + 4c_W^4) c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 (27 - 48c_W^2 + 20c_W^4)]$
$Z'_\mu Z'^\mu H^+ H^-$	$\frac{g^2}{12c_W^2(1-4s_W^2)} [4(1 - 4s_W^2)^2 c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 (1 - 10s_W^2)^2]$

TABLE IX: The interaction of two neutral gauge bosons with two scalars.

The Higgs production dominantly comes from the gluon gluon fusion via top loops [18]. Hence, we can approximate $\sigma(pp \rightarrow h) \simeq \sigma(GG \rightarrow h)$ as given in Fig 1, where the new physics effects are included. Note that the (b) diagram was skipped in [19, 20]. We have

$$\frac{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)}{\sigma(pp \rightarrow h)_{\text{SM}}} \simeq \left| \frac{c_\xi A_t(\tau_t) - t_\theta s_\xi \sum_J A_J(\tau_J)}{A_t(\tau_t)} \right|^2, \quad (43)$$

where $A_f(\tau_f) = 2 [\tau_f + (\tau_f - 1) \arcsin^2(\sqrt{\tau_f})] / \tau_f^2$ and $\tau_f = m_h^2 / (4m_f^2) < 1$ for $f = t, J_{1,2,3}$.

The dominant contributions and new physics effects to the Higgs decay into two photons are given in Fig. 2. The decay width is

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = \frac{G_F \alpha^2 m_h^3}{128 \sqrt{2} \pi^3} \left| \sum_f N_C Q_f^2 g_f A_f + \sum_{B=W,S} \frac{m_W^2}{m_B^2} Q_B^2 g_B A_B \right|^2, \quad (44)$$

where $f = (t, J_1, J_2, J_3)$, $V = (W, X, Y)$, $S = (H, \phi)$, $\sqrt{2}G_F = 1/u^2$, $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi)$, N_C is the

Vertex	Coupling
hhh	$-\frac{1}{2} \left[uc_\xi \left(2\lambda_1 c_\xi^2 + s_\xi^2 \lambda_3 \right) - ws_\xi \left(\lambda_3 c_\xi^2 + 2s_\xi^2 \lambda_2 \right) \right]$
HHH	$-\frac{1}{2} \left[us_\xi \left(2\lambda_1 s_\xi^2 + c_\xi^2 \lambda_3 \right) + wc_\xi \left(\lambda_3 s_\xi^2 + 2c_\xi^2 \lambda_2 \right) \right]$
hhH	$-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\lambda_3 s_\xi^2 + 2c_\xi^2 (3\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \right] us_\xi + wc_\xi \left[\lambda_3 c_\xi^2 + 2s_\xi^2 (3\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) \right] \right\}$
hHH	$-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[\lambda_3 c_\xi^2 + 2s_\xi^2 (3\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \right] uc_\xi - ws_\xi \left[\lambda_3 s_\xi^2 + 2c_\xi^2 (3\lambda_2 - \lambda_3) \right] \right\}$
hH^+H^-	$\frac{1}{2} \lambda_4 s_{2\theta} (s_\xi u - c_\xi w) + s_\theta^2 [2w\lambda_2 s_\xi - uc_\xi (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)] + c_\theta^2 [w(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) s_\xi - 2uc_\xi \lambda_1]$
HH^+H^-	$-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_4 s_{2\theta} (c_\xi u + s_\xi w) - s_\theta^2 [2w\lambda_2 c_\xi + us_\xi (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4)] - c_\theta^2 [w(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) c_\xi + 2us_\xi \lambda_1]$

TABLE X: The self-interaction of three normal scalars.

Vertex	Coupling
$hhhhh$	$-\frac{1}{16} \left[4 \left(\lambda_2 s_\xi^4 + \lambda_1 c_\xi^4 \right) + \lambda_3 s_{2\xi}^2 \right]$
$HHHHH$	$-\frac{1}{16} \left[4 \left(\lambda_2 c_\xi^4 + \lambda_1 s_\xi^4 \right) + \lambda_3 s_{2\xi}^2 \right]$
$H^+H^-H^+H^-$	$-\left(\frac{1}{4} \lambda_3 s_{2\theta}^2 + \lambda_1 c_\theta^4 + \lambda_2 s_\theta^4 \right)$
$hhHH$	$-\frac{1}{8} \left[2\lambda_3 + 3s_{2\xi}^2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3) \right]$
$hhhH$	$-\frac{1}{4} s_{2\xi} \left[(\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_2) s_\xi^2 + c_\xi^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \right]$
$hHHH$	$-\frac{1}{4} s_{2\xi} \left[(\lambda_3 - 2\lambda_2) c_\xi^2 + s_\xi^2 (2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3) \right]$
hHH^+H^-	$-\frac{1}{2} \left[(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_3 - \lambda_4) s_{2\xi} c_\theta^2 + s_\theta^2 s_{2\xi} (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 - 2\lambda_2) + \lambda_4 s_{2\theta} c_{2\xi} \right]$
hhH^+H^-	$-\frac{1}{4} \left\{ -\lambda_4 s_{2\theta} s_{2\xi} + 2c_\theta^2 \left[(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) s_\xi^2 + 2\lambda_1 c_\xi^2 \right] + 2s_\theta^2 \left[(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) c_\xi^2 + 2\lambda_2 s_\xi^2 \right] \right\}$
HHH^+H^-	$-\frac{1}{4} \left\{ \lambda_4 s_{2\theta} s_{2\xi} + 2c_\theta^2 \left[(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) c_\xi^2 + 2\lambda_1 s_\xi^2 \right] + 2s_\theta^2 \left[(\lambda_3 + \lambda_4) s_\xi^2 + 2\lambda_2 c_\xi^2 \right] \right\}$

TABLE XI: The self-interaction of four normal scalars.

color factor, $Q_{f,V,S}$ are the electric charges, and A_f is given as before, while $A_{V,S}$ are [18]

$$\begin{aligned}
A_V(\tau_V) &= - \left[2\tau_V^2 + 3\tau_V + 3(2\tau_V - 1)f(\tau_V) \right] / \tau_V^2, \\
A_S(\tau_S) &= - \left[\tau_S - f(\tau_S) \right] / \tau_S^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

where all the new particles have natural masses beyond the weak scale [5], thus $\tau_B = m_h^2/(4m_B^2) < 1$, and $f(\tau_B) = \arcsin^2(\sqrt{\tau_B})$.

The total Higgs decay width is as follows

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow \text{all}) = \sum_{f=b,c,\tau} \Gamma(h \rightarrow \bar{f}f) + \sum_{V=W,Z} \Gamma(h \rightarrow VV^*) + \Gamma(h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) + \Gamma(h \rightarrow GG). \tag{46}$$

Vertex	Coupling
$h\bar{e}_a e_b$	$-\frac{m_{ab}^e}{u}c_\xi + \frac{h_{ba}^{'e}}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2}wus_\xi$
$h\bar{u}_3 u_3$	$-\frac{m_{33}^u}{u}c_\xi$
$h\bar{u}_\alpha u_\alpha$	$-m_{\alpha\alpha}^u(\frac{c_\xi}{u} - \frac{s_\xi}{w})$
$h\bar{d}_3 d_3$	$-m_{33}^d(\frac{c_\xi}{u} - \frac{s_\xi}{w})$
$h\bar{d}_\alpha d_\alpha$	$-\frac{m_{\alpha\alpha}^d}{u}c_\xi$
$h\bar{J}_3 J_3$	$\frac{m_{33}^J}{w}s_\xi$
$h\bar{J}_\alpha J_\beta$	$\frac{m_{\alpha\beta}^J}{w}s_\xi$

TABLE XII: The Yukawa interactions of the standard model Higgs like particle (h).

Vertex	Coupling
$H\bar{e}_a e_b$	$-\frac{m_{ab}^e}{u}s_\xi - \frac{h_{ba}^{'e}}{\sqrt{2}\Lambda^2}wuc_\xi$
$H\bar{u}_3 u_3$	$-\frac{m_{33}^u}{u}s_\xi$
$H\bar{u}_\alpha u_\alpha$	$-m_{\alpha\alpha}^u(\frac{c_\xi}{w} + \frac{s_\xi}{u})$
$H\bar{d}_3 d_3$	$-m_{33}^d(\frac{c_\xi}{w} + \frac{s_\xi}{u})$
$H\bar{d}_\alpha d_\alpha$	$-\frac{m_{\alpha\alpha}^d}{u}s_\xi$
$H\bar{J}_3 J_3$	$-\frac{m_{33}^J}{w}c_\xi$
$H\bar{J}_\alpha J_\beta$	$-\frac{m_{\alpha\beta}^J}{w}c_\xi$

TABLE XIII: The Yukawa interactions of the new neutral Higgs boson (H).

With the aid of [18], we obtain

1. Fermion modes:

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow \bar{f}f) = \frac{G_F N_C}{4\sqrt{2}\pi} m_h g_f^2 m_f^2 (1 - 1/\tau_f)^{3/2}, \quad (47)$$

where $g_f = c_{\xi+\theta}/c_\theta$ for $f = b, c$ and $g_f = c_\xi - (1/\sqrt{2})(w/\Lambda)^2(h'_\tau u/m_\tau)s_\xi t_\theta$ for $f = \tau$ (where h'_τ is the 33 component of $h_{ab}^{'e}$ in the mass eigenstates).

2. Weak-boson modes:

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow VV^*) = \frac{3G_F^2 m_V^4 m_h}{16\pi^3} c_\xi^2 \delta_V R(x), \quad (48)$$

Vertex	Coupling
$H^+ \bar{J}_3 u_a$	$-\frac{m_{3a}^u}{u} \sqrt{2} c_\theta$
$H^- \bar{J}_\alpha d_a$	$-\frac{m_{\alpha a}^d}{u} \sqrt{2} c_\theta$
$H^- \bar{u}_3 J_3$	$-\frac{m_{33}^J}{w} \sqrt{2} s_\theta$
$H^+ \bar{d}_\alpha J_\beta$	$-\frac{m_{\alpha\beta}^J}{w} \sqrt{2} s_\theta$
$H^+ H^- \bar{u}_\alpha u_a$	$\frac{m_{\alpha a}^u}{uw} 2 s_\theta c_\theta$
$H^+ H^- \bar{d}_3 d_a$	$\frac{m_{3a}^d}{uw} 2 s_\theta c_\theta$


TABLE XIV: The Yukawa interactions of the charged Higgs boson ($H^\pm$).

FIG. 1: Contributions to the Higgs production due to gluon-gluon fusion. The h - H mixing effect changes the $h\bar{t}t$ coupling by c_ξ , simultaneously couples h to the exotic quarks which run in the loop. Such two modifications of the new physics are comparable $\sim (u/w)^2$. The $h\bar{f}f$ coupling for $f = t, J_a$ was normalized to the standard model coupling, i.e. $h_f = -\frac{m_f}{u} g_f$, in which g_f is indicated in the relevant graph.

where $\delta_W = 1$, $\delta_Z = 7/12 - 10s_W^2/9 + 40s_W^4/27$, $x = m_V^2/m_h^2$, and

$$R(x) = \frac{3(1 - 8x + 20x^2)}{\sqrt{4x - 1}} \arccos\left(\frac{3x - 1}{2x\sqrt{x}}\right) - \frac{1 - x}{2x} (2 - 13x + 47x^2) - \frac{3}{2} (1 - 6x + 4x^2) \ln x. \quad (49)$$

3. Gluon mode:

$$\Gamma(h \rightarrow GG) = \frac{G_F \alpha_s^2 m_h^3}{36\sqrt{2}\pi^3} \left| \frac{3}{4} \left[c_\xi A_t(\tau_t) - t_\theta s_\xi \sum_J A_J(\tau_J) \right] \right|^2, \quad (50)$$

where the form factors are defined above.




FIG. 2: Contributions to the decay $h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$. The new physics effects include the h - H mixing and the contributions of the exotic quarks, new charged gauge bosons, and charged normal and inert scalars. Hereafter, the couplings hV^*V ($V = W, X, Y$) and hS^*S ($S = H^\pm, \phi$) are normalized to the standard model coupling, $h_V = \frac{g^2 u}{2} g_V$ and $h_S = -\frac{g^2 u}{2} g_S$. Here, g_V is explicitly displayed in the relevant graph, but $g_{H^\pm}$ and g_ϕ could be read off from Table X and those in [5], respectively.

The corresponding standard model Higgs-decay widths are those obtained above in the limit $w \rightarrow \infty, \theta \rightarrow 0, \xi \rightarrow 0$ such that $\Gamma(\cdots)_{\text{SM}} = \Gamma(\cdots)|_{\theta=\xi=0, w=\infty}$. The fixed input parameters for estimating the signal strength are $u \simeq 246$ GeV, $s_W^2 \simeq 0.231$, $\alpha \simeq 1/128$, $\alpha_s \simeq 0.118$, $m_\tau \simeq 1.776$ GeV, $m_b \simeq 4.18$ GeV, $m_c \simeq 1.275$ GeV, $m_t \simeq 173$ GeV, $m_h \simeq 125$ GeV, $\Lambda = 5$ TeV, and $w = 3.6\text{--}5$ TeV, which should satisfy both the FCNCs bound and

Landau pole limit. Furthermore, we have the conditions for the potential parameters,

$$u^2 = \frac{2(2\lambda_2\mu_1^2 - \lambda_3\mu_2^2)}{\lambda_3^2 - 4\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \quad w^2 = \frac{2(2\lambda_1\mu_2^2 - \lambda_3\mu_1^2)}{\lambda_3^2 - 4\lambda_1\lambda_2}, \quad (51)$$

$$\mu_{1,2}^2 < 0, \quad \lambda_{1,2,4} > 0, \quad -2\sqrt{\lambda_1\lambda_2} < \lambda_3 < \text{Min} \{2\lambda_1(\mu_2/\mu_1)^2, 2\lambda_2(\mu_1/\mu_2)^2\}, \quad (52)$$

and the others for the inert part, as supplied in [5]. The signal strength $\mu_{\gamma\gamma}$ is scanned for $w = 3.6, 4, 4.5, 5$ TeV, when varying $\lambda_{1,2,4}$ (λ_3 is related to $\lambda_{1,2}$ by m_h) in 0.01–2, but satisfying all the above conditions, where the coupling and mass parameters of the inert part are chosen as in [5]. The exotic quark masses are also varied from beyond the weak scale up to the TeV scale. The numerical study yields a maximal bound, $\mu_{\gamma\gamma} \leq 1.06$, in agreement with the data (up to the known QCD corrections). We see that the new physics is quite decoupled because the mixing angles $\theta \simeq u/w$, $\xi \simeq (\lambda_3 u)/(2\lambda_2 w)$ are strictly suppressed, and all the contributions of new particles to the amplitudes are proportional to $(u/w)^2$.

B. The B_s - $\bar{B}_s$ mixing and rare $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay

Let the gauge states for up-quarks $u = (u_1 \ u_2 \ u_3)^T$ and down-quarks $d = (d_1 \ d_2 \ d_3)^T$. They are related to the mass eigenstates $u_{L,R} = V_{uL,R} u'_{L,R}$ and $d_{L,R} = V_{dL,R} d'_{L,R}$, where $u' = (u \ c \ t)^T$ and $d' = (d \ s \ b)^T$, such that $V_{uL}^\dagger m^u V_{uR} = \text{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t)$ and $V_{dL}^\dagger m^d V_{dR} = \text{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b)$. The CKM matrix is $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_{uL}^\dagger V_{dL}$. Below, we also denote q as either u or d , and q' as either u' or d' .

Since the quark generations are non-universal under the $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ gauge symmetry, there must be corresponding tree-level FCNCs. Indeed, reconsidering the interaction of neutral gauge bosons with fermions (29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}} &= -g\bar{F}\gamma^\mu P_\mu^{\text{NC}} F \\ &= -g\bar{F}\gamma^\mu [T_3 A_{3\mu} + T_8 A_{8\mu} + t(Q - T_3 + \sqrt{3}T_8)B_\mu] F \\ &\supset -g\bar{F}\gamma^\mu T_8 F (A_{8\mu} + \sqrt{3}tB_\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where $t = g_X/g$, $Q - T_3 + \sqrt{3}T_8 = X$, and F runs over all the fermion multiplets (the sum notation was omitted and should be understood). Above, note that there is no FCNC associated with T_3 and Q since all the repetitive flavors, e.g. $\{u_{aL}\}$, or $\{u_{aR}\}$, or $\{D_{aL}\}$, are identical under those charges. Further, the repetitive flavors of leptons and exotic quarks

are also identical under T_8 . Hence, there are only FCNCs associated with T_8 for the ordinary quarks. That being said, the relevant interactions are

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{NC}} \supset -\frac{g}{\sqrt{1-3t_W^2}} \bar{q}_{aL} \gamma^\mu T_8(q_{aL}) q_{aL} Z'_\mu = -\frac{g}{\sqrt{1-3t_W^2}} \bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu T_q q_L Z'_\mu, \quad (54)$$

where we have used $A_8 + \sqrt{3}t_W B = Z'/\sqrt{1-3t_W^2}$, $T_8(q_{aR}) = 0$, and $T_q = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1)$ that consists of the T_8 values for either (u_{1L}, u_{2L}, u_{3L}) or (d_{1L}, d_{2L}, d_{3L}) flavors, respectively. Changing to the mass eigenstates yields the tree-level FCNCs,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{FCNC}} &= -\frac{g}{\sqrt{1-3t_W^2}} \bar{q}'_{iL} \gamma^\mu (V_{qL}^\dagger T_q V_{qL})_{ij} q'_{jL} Z'_\mu \\ &= -\frac{g}{\sqrt{3}\sqrt{1-3t_W^2}} [(V_{qL}^*)_{3i} (V_{qL})_{3j}] \bar{q}'_{iL} \gamma^\mu q'_{jL} Z'_\mu \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Integrating out Z' from (55), we obtain effective interactions:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{FCNC}}^{\text{eff(a)}} \simeq \frac{[(V_{qL}^*)_{3i} (V_{qL})_{3j}]^2}{w^2} (\bar{q}'_{iL} \gamma^\mu q'_{jL})^2, \quad (56)$$

where we have used $m_{Z'}^2 \simeq \frac{g^2 w^2}{3(1-3t_W^2)}$. It is noteworthy that the interactions of Z' in (29) and (55) may encounter a Landau pole, $\Lambda \sim 5$ TeV, at which $s_W^2(\Lambda) = 1/4$ or $g_X(\Lambda) = g_{SW}/\sqrt{1-4s_W^2} = \infty$ [21]. However, the effective interactions (56) are always independent of this singularity. Such interactions contribute to meson mixings as $K-\bar{K}$, $D-\bar{D}$, $B_d-\bar{B}_d$, and $B_s-\bar{B}_s$, governed by quark pairs $(q'_i, q'_j) = (d, s)$, (u, c) , (d, b) , and (s, b) , respectively. The strongest bound for the new physics comes from $B_s-\bar{B}_s$ mixing. See the left graph of Fig. 3 for this mixing as explained by basic Z' boson. We have [13]

$$\frac{[(V_{dL}^*)_{32} (V_{dL})_{33}]^2}{w^2} < \frac{1}{(100 \text{ TeV})^2}. \quad (57)$$

Without loss of generality, let u_a be flavor-diagonal, i.e. $V_{\text{CKM}} = V_{dL}$. The CKM factor is given by $|(V_{dL}^*)_{32} (V_{dL})_{33}| \simeq 3.9 \times 10^{-2}$ [13], which implies

$$w > 3.9 \text{ TeV}, \quad (58)$$

slightly larger than the bound given in [5]. Correspondingly, the Z' mass is bounded by $m_{Z'} > 4.67$ TeV, provided that $s_W^2 \simeq 0.231$ is at the low energy regime of interested precesses. This mass is close to the point at which the perturbative character of the $U(1)_X$ (thus Z') interaction is lost (beyond this point the theory becomes strongly coupled and meets the singularity). Indeed, the TeV scale physics yields a larger s_W^2 closely to $1/4$, and

$m_{Z'}$ achieved may be beyond the singularity, lying in the invalid regime of the model. This indicates that the high energy behavior of the 3-3-1 model should take the $B - L$ gauge symmetry into account, called the 3-3-1-1 model [22], since it not only relaxes the w and $m_{Z'}$ bounds [23], but also cures the non-unitarity of the 3-3-1 model [24].

Integrating out Z' from (55) and (29) for charged leptons, we get effective interactions:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{FCNC}}^{\text{eff(b)}} = \frac{g^2[(V_{qL}^*)_{3i}(V_{qL})_{3j}]}{4m_{Z'}^2} (\bar{q}'_{iL}\gamma^\mu q'_{jL}) [\bar{l}\gamma_\mu(1 + \gamma_5/3)l], \quad (59)$$

where $l = e, \mu, \tau$, and they are independent of the Landau singularity too. These interactions potentially contribute to rare semileptonic/leptonic meson decays such as $B \rightarrow K^{(*)}l^+l^-$, $B \rightarrow \pi l^+l^-$, $B_{s,d} \rightarrow l^+l^-$, and so on. Particularly, let us consider the $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ transition as defined by the effective interactions:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{FCNC}}^{\text{eff(b)}} \supset -\frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}}[(V_{dL}^*)_{32}(V_{dL})_{33}](\Delta C_9 Q_9 + \Delta C_{10} Q_{10}), \quad (60)$$

where the semileptonic operators are

$$Q_9 = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}(\bar{s}_L\gamma^\mu b_L)(\bar{l}\gamma_\mu l), \quad Q_{10} = \frac{\alpha}{4\pi}(\bar{s}_L\gamma^\mu b_L)(\bar{l}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 l), \quad (61)$$

and the Wilson coefficients induced by the new physics are identified as

$$\Delta C_9 = 3\Delta C_{10} = -\frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \frac{m_W^2}{m_{Z'}^2}, \quad (62)$$

where note that $\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{g^2}{8m_W^2}$. The global fits of the Wilson coefficients to the $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ data have been established by several groups [25]. Some observed deviations may hint towards an interpretation of the new physics. See [8, 17, 26, 27], for instance, for recent explanations. A strong constraint on ΔC_{10} might come from $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay [28]. The new physics contribution is demonstrated by the right graph in Fig. 3 by basic Z' boson exchange. Generalizing the results in [27], we obtain the signal strength:

$$\mu_{B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-} = \frac{\overline{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}{\overline{Br}(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} = 1 + r^2 - 2r, \quad (63)$$

where $r = \Delta C_{10}/C_{10}$ ($C_{10} = -4.2453$ is the standard model Wilson coefficient) is real and bounded by $0 \leq r \leq 0.1$. It leads to

$$m_{Z'} \geq 2.02 \text{ TeV}. \quad (64)$$

Correspondingly, our model predicts $\Delta C_9 = 3\Delta C_{10} = [-1.273, 0]$, in agreement with the model-independent global fits [25].

Note that the $\Delta C_9/\Delta C_{10}$ relation and $m_{Z'}$ bound achieved above are unlike those in [8] in spite of $\beta = -\sqrt{3}$ for both the models (ours and the one in [8]). Indeed, the $\bar{l}lZ'$ couplings in the minimal 3-3-1 model (Table II) are different from those in [8] because the l_R fields which are included in the lepton triplets have $SU(3)_L \otimes U(1)_X$ charges differing from the case that they are treated as $SU(3)_L$ singlets as in [8].



FIG. 3: Contributions to the B_s - $\bar{B}_s$ mixing and rare $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay due to the tree-level flavor-changing coupling, $Z'\bar{s}b$, as a character for this kind of the models.

C. New particle signatures

Recently, the ATLAS experiment at the LHC8 [29] has reported 3.4, 2.6, and 2.9σ excesses, whose peaks are around 2 TeV and widths are narrow, in searches for massive resonances decaying into WZ , WW , and ZZ channels, respectively. Since about 20% events are shared among these channels, the resonances are not completely separated, that can be interpreted as the signature of a new boson with a mass about 2 TeV, produced in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, then decaying into one of the channels whose production cross-section times decay branching ratio into the gauge bosons is in 10 fb order. Very recently, both the ATLAS and CMS experiments at the LHC13 have indicated the hint of a new resonance with a peak at around 750 GeV decaying into two photons, with a high local significance (about 3σ), whose production cross-section times decay branching ratio into two photons is in 10 fb order too [30]. Although it is too early to qualify the 2 TeV and/or 750 GeV resonances as discoveries, it is worthwhile to explain any of the observations.

As discussed above, the Z' boson is more massive than the 2 TeV excesses. Therefore, it cannot be accounted for the ATLAS diboson anomalies. Examining various 3-3-1 models, the work in [31] indicates a similar conclusion. Additionally, since our model yields the Z' mass near the Landau singularity, the Drell-Yan and dijet bounds might be relaxed [32].

The new neutral Higgs boson H has the mass $m_H = \sqrt{2\lambda_2}w$, which can vary from just above the weak scale to TeV scale, depending on the λ_2 size. For example, taking $\lambda_2 = 0.01$ – 0.5 and $w = 4$ TeV due to the FCNC constraint, it leads to $m_H = 465$ – 4000 GeV. Can the new Higgs H account for the 750 GeV diphoton excess (thus $\lambda_2 \simeq 0.0175$)? First of all, observe that these new Higgs particles can be generated at the LHC dominantly through gluon-gluon fusion via exotic-quarks loops, and then partially decay into two high-energy photons [36]. Using $-h^J/\sqrt{2}m^J = 1/w$ (due to (40)) and $N_J = 3$ (the number of exotic quarks), the H coupling to gluons induced by J_a loops takes the form [33]

$$\mathcal{L}_{HGG} = \frac{\alpha_s}{12\pi} \frac{3}{w} H G_{i\mu\nu} G_i^{\mu\nu}, \quad (65)$$

which is enhanced due to the number of heavy quarks and is obviously independent of the h^J strength. Because of the FCNC constraint, $w \geq 3.9$ TeV, it yields $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \leq 1.77 \times 10^{-2}$ pb at the LHC13 for $m_H = 750$ GeV.

If the H boson decays only into two photons, photon and Z , and two gluons via the exotic quarks loops, we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma Z)} \simeq \frac{1}{2t_W^2(1 - m_Z^2/m_H^2)^3} \simeq 1.74, \quad (66)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)}{\Gamma(H \rightarrow GG)} \simeq \frac{19^2}{18} \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_s} \right)^2 \simeq 8.36 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (67)$$

The gluon mode dominates, while the two others are comparable and more enhanced than those of the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos (where $19^2/18$ changes to $2/9$) due to the large electric charges for exotic quarks. Correspondingly, we have $Br(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \simeq 7.38 \times 10^{-2}$ and $Br(H \rightarrow \gamma Z) \simeq 4.24 \times 10^{-2}$. The model predicts $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \equiv \sigma(pp \rightarrow H)Br(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \leq 1.3$ fb and $\sigma_{\gamma Z} \equiv \sigma(pp \rightarrow H)Br(H \rightarrow \gamma Z) \leq 0.75$ fb. The cross section $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}$ is slightly small to explain the 750 GeV diphoton excess. Neglecting other constraints by choosing $w = 2$ TeV, it follows $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \simeq 6.75 \times 10^{-2}$ pb, thus $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \simeq 5$ fb, in agreement with the data [30] as well as the conclusion of [34]. This case yields $\sigma_{\gamma Z} \simeq 2.86$ fb.

We see that $w \leq 2$ TeV for the diphoton excess opposes the FCNC constraint and the other bounds aforementioned. Furthermore, H might decay into WW , ZZ , and tt due

to the h - H mixing, set by the strength $\xi \simeq (\lambda_3 u)/(\lambda_2 w)$, and into hh due to the scalar coupling, $V \supset \frac{\lambda_3}{2} w H h^2$. We evaluate, for examples, $\Gamma(H \rightarrow WW)/\Gamma(H \rightarrow GG) \simeq 459.5 \times (0.1/\alpha_s)^2 (\lambda_3/\lambda_2)^2$ and $\Gamma(H \rightarrow hh)/\Gamma(H \rightarrow GG) \simeq 58.16 \times (0.1/\alpha_s)^2 (\lambda_3/\lambda_2)^2$. Consequently, all these modes may reduce $Br(H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma)$ substantially, depending on λ_3/λ_2 .

To avoid such low value of w as well as the potential large decays of H into the standard model heavy particles, we interpret the inert scalar H'_3 or A'_3 as the excess instead of H , where H'_3 and A'_3 are the real and imaginary parts of the third component of the inert scalar triplet $\phi = \chi'$ (we still have $\phi = \eta'$, σ for dark matter), and the Z_2 symmetry is omitted [5]. The χ' inert triplet has the gauge quantum numbers similarly to χ , but cannot develop VEV due to the potential minimization conditions as supplied in [5]. It can now couple to the exotic quarks via the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_Y \supset h'^J_{33} \bar{Q}_{3L} \chi' J_{3R} + h'^J_{\alpha\beta} \bar{Q}_{\alpha L} \chi'^* J_{\beta R} + H.c..$ The $H'_3 J_a J_a$ couplings and J_a masses (as induced by H) are not correlated, and there is no H'_3 - h mixing and no $H'_3 hh$ coupling, as expected (similarly valid for A'_3 , but it will be skipped hereafter). The H'_3 coupling to gluons as induced by J_a loops is given by the effective Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_{H'_3 GG} = \frac{\alpha_s}{12\pi} \frac{h'^J}{h^J} \frac{3}{w} H'_3 G_{i\mu\nu} G_i^{\mu\nu}. \quad (68)$$

Taking $m_{H'_3} = 750$ GeV, we have at the LHC13, $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H'_3) \sim 7 \times 10^{-2}$ pb if $h'^J/h^J \sim 0.51w/\text{TeV} = 1.98\text{--}2.54$ for $w = 3.9\text{--}5$ TeV, respectively, which does not require a large hierarchy in the Yukawa couplings as in [34]. Note that in this case H'_3 dominantly decays into gluons, and $Br(H'_3 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) = 7.38 \times 10^{-2}$ and $Br(H'_3 \rightarrow \gamma Z) \simeq 4.24 \times 10^{-2}$ (both are induced by J_a loops, analogous to the above case). Correspondingly, $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H'_3) Br(H'_3 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \sim 5.16$ fb fits the data. The model predicts $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H'_3) Br(H'_3 \rightarrow \gamma Z) \sim 2.97$ fb.

Although the H'_3 couplings to tt , WW , ZZ , and hh are suppressed at the tree level, they might still decay into these channels as induced by loops, e.g. tt (by a triangular loop of two J_3 and a new gauge boson X_μ , due to gauge interactions XtJ_3 as in (26)) and ZZ (by J_a loops due to the gauge interactions $ZJ_a J_a$ as in Table I). But, they are all rare as suppressed by the loop factor and u/w . For instance, the effective coupling, $-\frac{m_t}{u} c_{\text{eff}} H'_3 \bar{t}t$, is obtained as $c_{\text{eff}} = \frac{g^2}{96\pi^2} \frac{u}{w}$ as desirable, where we have assumed $m_J = m_X$ for brevity.

In some sense, H can mainly decay into two electroweak gauge bosons, but it cannot explain the ATLAS diboson excesses [29]. This is because, at the LHC8, for $m_H = 2$ TeV (i.e. $\lambda_2 = 1/8$) and $w = 4$ TeV, we have $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H) \simeq 4.68 \times 10^{-2}$ fb as induced by J_a loops, which is too small to fit the data. Also, the inert scalars such as H'_3 and A'_3 could not be the

diboson excess. Although some of them can be enough produced by choosing appropriate h'^J/h^J ratio, its decays into the electroweak bosons are very rare due to the loop factor and u/w suppressions aforementioned.

In summary, the results in the second work of [34] are refined, and an alternative solution to the first work of [34] for the simple 3-3-1 model with inert scalars are obtained.

V. CONCLUSION

The baryon minus lepton number ($B - L$) is an accident symmetry in the standard model and it always commutes with the gauge symmetry. Even, it can acts as a hidden gauge symmetry if three right-handed neutrinos are included. The case is different and explicit in 3-3-1 extensions, where $B - L$ and 3-3-1 symmetries do not commute and non-closed algebraically. Consequently, either we must work with a greater gauge group to close the algebras known as 3-3-1-1 [22–24] or accepting 3-3-1 models, but $B - L$ is an approximate symmetry and the unitarity is not ensured at a high energy scale. Interpreting inert scalar multiplets for the 3-3-1 models is a natural recognition of the last fold because it not only yields appropriate $B - L$ violating interactions but also providing rich phenomenology such as dark matter [5], the global fit ρ -parameter, and the possible solution to the LHC diphoton anomaly (last two are obtained in this work). The 3-3-1 model considered in this work is with the minimal lepton and normal scalar content, and the inert sector includes η' , χ' as replications of the normal scalars, respectively, and σ' scalar sextet.

Because of such a special scalar sector, the model is calculable. All the gauge interactions for fermions and scalars are derived, and the self-interactions of scalars as well as Yukawa interactions are achieved. The standard model interactions are recovered in the effective limit $u \ll w$. It is noted that the interactions of charged leptons with Z' are not governed by the general formulae for $g_{V,A}$ since the right-handed charged leptons possess the T_8 charge. With this at hand, we obtain the production cross-section and branching decay widths for the standard model like Higgs boson as well as the effective interactions describing the meson mixings and rare semileptonic/leptonic meson decays due to the Z' contribution. The new physics contributions to the standard model Higgs signal strength are strictly suppressed by $(u/w)^2$, and in the viable parameter regime the model's prediction is close to the standard model and in agreement with the data. The $B_s - \bar{B}_s$ mixing constrains the

3-3-1 breaking scale to be $w > 3.9$ TeV, while the rare $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ decay data indicate $m_{Z'} > 2.02$ TeV. Our model predicts the new physics contribution to the Wilson coefficients to be $\Delta C_9 = 3\Delta C_{10} = [-1.273, 0]$, in agreement with the global fits. Such results improve the previous bounds [8] since the $Z'\bar{l}l$ coupling is changed as corrected. A lower bound on w from the meson mixing can be achieved since the $B-L$ gauge interaction if included also substantially contribute as Z' [23].

We have shown that Z' cannot be interpreted as the ATLAS diboson excesses since this particle is more massive than 2 TeV. Also, the new neutral scalar H that is responsible for the 3-3-1 symmetry breaking and the inert scalars cannot be the diboson resonances since their production cross-sections are too small in comparison to the data. The new Higgs H also cannot be the ATLAS/CMS diphoton excess since there is a discrepancy between the FCNC and collider bounds on the w scale. The inert scalar H'_3 or inert pseudo-scalar A'_3 may be naturally interpreted as the 750 GeV diphoton excess in our model since their decay modes to $\gamma\gamma$ are more enhanced due to the large electric charges carried by the exotic quarks. The hierarchy in the exotic quark Yukawa couplings is not necessary. The model yields $\sigma(pp \rightarrow H'_3)Br(H'_3 \rightarrow \gamma Z) = 2.97$ fb at the LHC13, slightly smaller than the $\gamma\gamma$ production. It is emphasized that the exotic quarks and inert scalars in explaining the diphoton excess are fundamental components of the 3-3-1 model previously studied.

The results as obtained obviously reveal a significant inert scalar sector, strongly correlated to the simple 3-3-1 model on both the theoretical and phenomenological sides: the mathematical inconsistency with $B-L$ symmetry is cured, the dark matter and diphoton anomaly can be explained, the ρ -parameter is in agreement with the global fit, and the small neutrino masses might result from the approximate $B-L$ symmetry.

Acknowledgments

PVD would like to thank Dr. C. A. de S. Pires for a comment on the new-physics scale due to the ρ -parameter constraint, which has been solved in the present work. NTKN expresses gratitude to Dr. Dang Van Soa for his guidances of the 3-3-1 model. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED)

under grant number 103.01-2013.43.

-
- [1] F. Pisano and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 410 (1992); P. H. Frampton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2889 (1992); R. Foot, O. F. Hernandez, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4158 (1993).
 - [2] M. Singer, J. W. F. Valle, and J. Schechter, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 738 (1980); J. C. Montero, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 2918 (1993); R. Foot, H. N. Long, and Tuan A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D **50**, R34 (1994).
 - [3] M. B. Tully and G. C. Joshi, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 011301 (2001); Alex G. Dias, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Lett. B **628**, 85 (2005); D. Chang and H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 053006 (2006); P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 073006 (2007); P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 057302 (2008); P. V. Dong, L. T. Hue, H. N. Long, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 053004 (2010); P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, D. V. Soa, and V. V. Vien, Eur. Phys. J. C **71**, 1544 (2011); P. V. Dong, H. N. Long, C. H. Nam, and V. V. Vien, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 053001 (2012); S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 013005 (2014); S. M. Boucenna, R. M. Fonseca, F. Gonzalez-Canales, and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 031702 (2015); H. Okada, N. Okada, and Y. Orikasa, arXiv:1504.01204 [hep-ph]; C. A. de S. Pires, Physics International **6**, 33 (2015).
 - [4] D. Fregolente and M. D. Tonasse, Phys. Lett. B **555**, 7 (2003); H. N. Long and N. Q. Lan, Europhys. Lett. **64**, 571 (2003); S. Filippi, W. A. Ponce, and L. A. Sanches, Europhys. Lett. **73**, 142 (2006); C. A. de S. Pires and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, JCAP **0712**, 012 (2007); J. K. Mizukoshi, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 065024 (2011); J. D. Ruiz-Alvarez, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, D. Restrepo, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 075011 (2012); P. V. Dong, T. Phong Nguyen, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 095014 (2013); S. Profumo and F. S. Queiroz, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2960 (2014); C. Kelso, C. A. de S. Pires, S. Profumo, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Eur. Phys. J. C **74**, 2797 (2014); P. V. Dong, T. D. Tham, and H. T. Hung, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 115003 (2013); P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, F. S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 075021 (2014); D. T. Huong, P. V. Dong, C. S. Kim, and N. T. Thuy, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 055023 (2015); P. V. Dong, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 055026 (2015); P. S. Rodrigues da Silva,

- arXiv:1412.8633 [hep-ph].
- [5] P. V. Dong, N. T. K. Ngan, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 075019 (2014); P. V. Dong, C. S. Kim, N. T. Thuy, and D. V. Soa, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 115019 (2015).
 - [6] See, for example, Frampton in [1].
 - [7] D. Ng, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 4805 (1994); D. G. Dumm, F. Pisano, and V. Pleitez, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **09**, 1609 (1994); H. N. Long and V. T. Van, J. Phys. G **25**, 2319 (1999).
 - [8] A. J. Buras, F. D. Fazio, J. Girrbach, and M. V. Carlucci, JHEP **1302**, 023 (2013); A. J. Buras, F. D. Fazio, and J. Girrbach, JHEP **1402**, 112 (2014); **1408**, 039 (2014); R. Gauld, F. Goertz, and U. Haisch, JHEP **1401**, 069 (2014); A. J. Buras and F. D. Fazio, arXiv:1512.02869 [hep-ph].
 - [9] F. Pisano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **11**, 2639 (1996); A. Doff and F. Pisano, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 1133 (1999); C. A. de S. Pires and O. P. Ravinez, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 035008 (1998); C. A. de S. Pires, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 075013 (1999); P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 6677 (2006).
 - [10] P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 1659 (1995); P. V. Dong, H. T. Hung, and H. N. Long, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 033002 (2012).
 - [11] J. G. Ferreira Jr, P. R. D. Pinheiro, C. A. de S. Pires, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 095019 (2011).
 - [12] P. V. Dong and D. T. Si, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 117703 (2014).
 - [13] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
 - [14] P. V. Dong and H. N. Long, Eur. Phys. J. C **42**, 325 (2005).
 - [15] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012); S. Chatrchyan *et al.* (CMS Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **716**, 30 (2012).
 - [16] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), arXiv:1507.04548 [hep-ex]; CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-HIG-14-009.
 - [17] U. Haisch, arXiv:1510.03341 [hep-ph].
 - [18] A. Djouadi, Phys. Rept. **457**, 1 (2008); **459**, 1 (2008); and references therein.
 - [19] W. Caetano, C. A. de S. Pires, P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, D. Cogollo, and F. S. Queiroz, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2607 (2013).
 - [20] A. Alves, E. Ramirez Barreto, A. G. Dias, C. A. de S. Pires, F. S. Queiroz, and P. S. Rodrigues da Silva, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 115004 (2011).

- [21] A. G. Dias, R. Martinez, and V. Pleitez, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **39**, 101 (2005); A. G. Dias, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 015009 (2005).
- [22] P. V. Dong, T. D. Tham, and H. T. Hung, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 115003 (2013); P. V. Dong, D. T. Huong, F. S. Queiroz, and N. T. Thuy, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 075021 (2014); D. T. Huong, P. V. Dong, C. S. Kim, and N. T. Thuy, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 055023 (2015).
- [23] P. V. Dong and D. T. Si, arXiv:1510.06815 [hep-ph].
- [24] P. V. Dong, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 055026 (2015).
- [25] S. Descotes-Genon, L. Hofer, J. Matias, and J. Virto, arXiv:1510.04239 [hep-ph]; W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75**, 382 (2015); W. Altmannshofer and D. M. Straub, arXiv:1503.06199 [hep-ph]; D. Ghosh, M. Nardecchia, and S. A. Renner, *JHEP* **1412**, 131 (2014).
- [26] R. Gauld, F. Goertz, and U. Haisch, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 015005 (2014); W. Altmannshofer, S. Gori, M. Pospelov, and I. Yavin, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 095033 (2014); A. Crivellin, G. D. Ambrosio, and J. Heeck, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 151801 (2015); D. A. Sierra, F. Staub, and A. Vicente, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 015001 (2015); A. Celis, J. Fuentes-Martin, M. Jung, and H. Serodio, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 015007 (2015); W. Altmannshofer and I. Yavin, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 075022 (2015); C. Bobeth and U. Haisch, *JHEP* **1509**, 018 (2015); B. Allanach, F. S. Queiroz, A. Strumia, and S. Sun, arXiv:1511.07447 [hep-ph]; A. Celis, W.-Z. Feng, and D. Lust, arXiv:1512.02218 [hep-ph].
- [27] R. Mohanta, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 014020 (2014); S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 094019 (2015); S. Sahoo and R. Mohanta, arXiv:1512.04657 [hep-ph].
- [28] V. Khachatryan *et al.* (CMS and LHCb Collaborations), *Nature* **522**, 68 (2015).
- [29] G. Aad *et al.* (ATLAS Collaboration), *JHEP* **1512**, 055 (2015).
- [30] The ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS-CONF-2015-081; The CMS Collaboration, CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004.
- [31] Q. H. Cao, B. Yan, and D. M. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 095025 (2015).
- [32] A. Alves, S. Profumo, and F. S. Queiroz, *JHEP* **1404**, 063 (2014); Y. A. Coutinho, V. S. Guimaraes, and A. A. Nepomuceno, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 115014 (2013).
- [33] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, G. L. Kane, and S. Dawson, *Front. Phys.* **80**, 1 (2000).
- [34] S. M. Boucenna, S. Morisi, A. Vicente, arXiv:1512.06878 [hep-ph]; A. E. C. Hernandez and I. Nisandzic, arXiv:1512.07165 [hep-ph].

- [35] Q.-H. Cao, Y. Liu, K.-P. Xie, B. Yan, and D.-M. Zhang, arXiv:1512.08441 [hep-ph].
- [36] While this investigation is being completed, two articles in [34] have reported on the same possibility that some third component of scalar triplets can solve the 750 GeV diphoton excess. Please note that their scalar candidates are different: The second article interpreted the diphoton excess similarly to H in our model for the minimal 3-3-1 model, while the first article considered the excess as of an extra scalar triplet that does not have VEV but coupled to the exotic quarks for the 3-3-1 model with right-handed neutrinos. The article [35] deals with the 3-3-1 model with arbitrary β .